

When submitting the review the reviewer is asked to fill in the following:

1. Reviewer conclusion: (one of multiply choice)

Evaluation of the paper's content:

2. Does the paper include new scientific content or value of utility (specify to what extent)?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
please specify	

3. Are the assumptions and methodology of work properly adopted?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

4. Is the correct terminology used?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

5. Are the SI units applied consistently?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

6. Does the title of the paper correspond to its content?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

7. Does the abstract capture the essence of the paper?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

8. Does the abstract of the paper is well-written?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

9. Is the illustrative material (tab., fig.) properly selected and not repetitive?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

10. Is the choice of cited literature accurate and sufficient?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> yes	<input type="radio"/> no
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

11. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

Obligatory

12. Information for Authors:

Obligatory

13. Attachment (if needed)